

# Stokes Flows under Random Boundary Velocity Excitations

K.K. Sabelfeld

Received: 25 August 2008 / Accepted: 14 November 2008 / Published online: 2 December 2008  
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2008

**Abstract** A viscous Stokes flow over a disc under random fluctuations of the velocity on the boundary is studied. We give exact Karhunen-Loève (K-L) expansions for the velocity components, pressure, stress, and vorticity, and the series representations for the corresponding correlation tensors. Both the white noise fluctuations, and general homogeneous random excitations of the velocities prescribed on the boundary are studied. We analyze the decay of correlation functions in angular and radial directions, both for exterior and interior Stokes problems. Numerical experiments show the fast convergence of the K-L expansions. The results indicate that ignoring the stochastic fluctuations in boundary conditions dramatically underestimates the variance of the velocity and pressure in the interior/exterior of the domain.

**Keywords** Stokes flow · Random boundary excitations · Karhunen-Loève expansion · Velocity correlation tensor · Stress, vorticity, and pressure

## 1 Introduction

The boundary value problems for PDEs with irregular coefficients, fluctuating source terms, or randomly excited boundary conditions are used in many fields of science and technology to describe uncertainty, probabilistic distribution of irregularities, or large ensembles of measurements under similar but randomly fluctuating conditions (e.g., see [1, 4]). We mention also the analysis of synoptic meteorological data [14], simulation of spatially variable seismic ground motions experiencing loss of coherence [9], and statistical theory of fully

---

The support of the RFBR Grant N 06-01-00498 is kindly acknowledged.

K.K. Sabelfeld (✉)

Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics, Mohrenstraße 39, 10117 Berlin, Germany  
e-mail: [sabelfel@wias-berlin.de](mailto:sabelfel@wias-berlin.de)

K.K. Sabelfeld

Institute of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Geophysics, Russian Acad. Sci.,  
Lavrentieva str., 6, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

developed turbulence [16–18]. Stochastic approach is intensively used in flows in porous media and soils governed by the Darcy equation with a random hydraulic conductivity coefficient [3, 10, 26], as well as biological tissues [34], and in geodesy [22, 28]. In electrical impedance tomography [8] important problem is to evaluate a global response to random boundary excitations, and to estimate local fluctuations of the solution fields. Similar analysis is made in the inverse problems of elastography [20, 24], recognition technology [6], acoustic scattering from rough surfaces [33], fluid dynamics [19], and reaction-diffusion equations with white noise boundary perturbations [31]. An interesting application is also the analysis of the dislocations in crystals by X-ray diffraction [7]. The physical measurement method is based on the X-ray scattering from relaxed heteroepitaxial layers with the misfit dislocations randomly distributed at the interface between the layer and the substrate.

Flows over rough surfaces, e.g., over and in tubes with rough surfaces play an important role in a variety of applications [32]. Often the topography of such surfaces cannot be accurately described in all details due to either insufficient data or measurement errors or both. In such cases, this topography uncertainty can be efficiently handled by treating rough boundaries as random fields, so that an underlying physical phenomenon is described by deterministic or stochastic differential equations with random velocities near the boundary caused by the roughness. The results of calculations we present in this paper indicate that ignoring the stochastic fluctuations in boundary conditions dramatically underestimates the variance of the velocity and pressure in the interior/exterior of the domain.

Many convenient and efficient methods based on the spectral decomposition can be applied in the case when the simulated random fields are homogeneous. Among those, we mention both deterministic and randomized spectral methods (e.g., see [5, 11, 12, 23, 30]). Simulation of inhomogeneous random fields is less developed (see an overview in [25]). This complicates much the development of numerical solution of PDEs involving inhomogeneous random fields. We deal in this paper with 2D zero mean random fields  $v(r, \theta)$  in a disc  $S(0, R)$  which are homogeneous with respect to one coordinate, the angular coordinate  $\theta$ , and inhomogeneous in the radial direction  $r$ . Random fields with this property are called partially homogeneous [23]. The correlation function  $B_v = \langle v(r_1, \theta_1)v(r_2, \theta_2) \rangle$  is then depending on the difference  $\theta = \theta_1 - \theta_2$ . The partial spectral function is defined as the inverse Fourier transform with respect to  $\theta$ :

$$S_v(\xi, r_1, r_2) = F^{-1}[B_v](\xi, r_1, r_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} B_v(\theta, r_1, r_2) e^{-i\theta\xi} d\theta.$$

Assume we have no dependence on the variable  $r$ . The spectral representation of the random field is written in the form

$$v(\theta, \cdot) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\xi\theta} G(\xi, \cdot, \cdot) Z(d\xi) \tag{1}$$

where  $G$  is defined by  $G(\xi, \cdot, \cdot)G^*(\xi, \cdot, \cdot) = S(\xi, \cdot, \cdot)$ , the star sign stands for the complex conjugate, the sign  $\cdot$  stands to recall we omit the dependence on the variable  $r$ , and  $Z(d\xi)$  is a white noise on  $[0, 2\pi]$ .

To simulate homogeneous random field one commonly uses the Randomized Spectral method (e.g., see [11, 23, 30]) which is based on the randomized calculation of the stochastic integral (1). Another method is based on the Riemann sums calculation with fixed cells. The integral is approximated by a finite sum

$$v(\theta, \cdot) \approx \sum_{i=1}^N [\zeta_i \sin(\xi_i\theta) + \eta_i \cos(\xi_i\theta)]$$

where  $\xi_i$  are deterministic nodes in the Fourier space,  $\zeta_i$  and  $\eta_i$  are Gaussian variables with zero mean and relevant covariance.

In our case we have however the dependence on the variable  $r$ , and the random fields are partially inhomogeneous. In [23] we have extended the Spectral Randomization method to general partially homogeneous random fields, but the inhomogeneity in  $r$  was still a problem assumed to be solved by other methods. In a sense it was a method which reduced the dimension of the problem (see for details [23]).

In the case we deal with, the partial spectral function has a special structure, namely, it depends on  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  as follows:  $S(\xi, r_1, r_2) = G(\xi, r_1)G^*(\xi, r_2)$ . Notice that if  $\mathbf{v}$  is a vector random field,  $S(\xi, r_1, r_2)$  is a partial spectral matrix, and this factorization means a product of two matrices where the star stands for the complex conjugate [23]. This enables to construct a simple extension of the Randomization method, without solving the inhomogeneity problem in  $r$ , see [25] and [27]. This was first done in [25] by using the Karhunen-Loève expansion. It should be emphasized that in this case, when the partial spectral function factorizes, the Spectral Randomization method is more effective since, unlike in the K-L expansion, there is no need to solve the eigen-value problem for the correlation operator.

Assume now, without loss of generality, that a generally inhomogeneous random field  $u(x)$  has a zero mean and a variance  $Eu^2(x)$  that is bounded. The Karhunen-Loève expansion has the form [35]:  $u(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_k} \zeta_k h_k(x)$ , where  $\lambda_k$  and  $h_k(x)$  are the eigen-values and eigen-functions of the correlation function  $B(x_1, x_2) = \langle u(x_1)u(x_2) \rangle$ , and  $\zeta_k$  is a family of random variables.

By definition,  $B(x_1, x_2)$  is bounded, symmetric and positive definite. For such kernels, the Hilbert-Schmidt theory says that the following spectral representation is valid

$$B(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k h_k(x_1)h_k(x_2)$$

where the eigen-values and eigen-functions are the solutions of the following eigen-value problem for the correlation operator:

$$\int B(x_1, x_2)h_k(x_1) dx_1 = \lambda_k h_k(x_2).$$

The eigen-functions form a complete orthogonal set  $\int h_i(x)h_j(x) dx = \delta_{ij}$  where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta-function. The family  $\{\zeta_k\}$  is a set of uncorrelated random variables which are obviously related to  $h_k$  by

$$\zeta_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \int u(x)h_k(x) dx, \quad \langle \zeta_k \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \zeta_i \zeta_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

It is well known that the Karhunen-Loève expansion presents an optimal (in the mean square sense) convergence for any distribution of  $u(x)$ . If  $u(x)$  is a zero mean Gaussian random field, then  $\{\zeta_k\}$  is a family of standard Gaussian random variables. We assume in this study that the random fields are Gaussian. Some generalizations to non-Gaussian random fields are reported in [21].

In [25], I studied random boundary excitations for the Laplace equation under random Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, biharmonic equation, and the Lamé equation governing a 2D elastostatics problem for a disc. This study was then extended to the case of an elastic half-plane [27, 29].

In this paper I deal with the Stokes incompressible flows under random excitations of the velocity prescribed on the boundary. Note that in the Stokes flow analysis, there is a deep relation to the case of the Lamé equation studied in [25], but there are also important differences, namely, (1) the problem is exterior, (2) the velocity field is divergence-free, (3) the pressure in the Stokes flow is strongly correlated to the velocity fluctuations on the boundary which complicates the study of the cross-correlations. I consider also the interior problem where an additional boundary condition should be taken into account. Along the Karhunen-Loève expansions of the velocity and pressure, exact series representations are given for the vorticity and the stress tensor. Numerical experiments illustrate the rate of convergence of the K-L expansions, and present the details of the correlation structure of different flow characteristics.

### 2 Exterior Stokes Problem: Formulation and Poisson Formula

Let us consider a linear Stokes problem in  $D_R^+$  which is an exterior of  $D_R$ , a disc of radius  $R$  centered at the origin. On the boundary, which is the circle  $S(0, R) = \partial D_R$ , the velocity components  $g_1, g_2$  are prescribed, and the velocity vector  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = (u_1(\mathbf{x}), u_2(\mathbf{x}))$  and the pressure  $p(\mathbf{x})$  are to be found from the Stokes problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \Delta \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) &= \nabla p(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in D_R^+, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) &= 0, & \mathbf{x} \in D_R^+, \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}') &= \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}'), & \mathbf{x}' \in S(0, R) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where  $\eta$  is the dynamic viscosity.

Assume the prescribed boundary velocities  $g_i, i = 1, 2$  are homogeneous random processes defined on  $S(0, R)$  which implies that the correlation tensor  $(B_g)_{ij} = \langle g_i(\theta_1)g_j(\theta_2) \rangle$  depends on the angular difference  $\theta = \theta_1 - \theta_2$ , and  $\langle \mathbf{g}(\theta) \rangle = \text{const}$ .

The solution of the problem (2), the velocity  $\mathbf{u}$  and the pressure  $p$  are random fields, and our goal is to construct simulation formulae for these random fields, and to find their main statistical characteristics, e.g., the stress and velocity correlation tensors, and the pressure correlation function.

Here we note that from the Poisson formula (3) we present in the next section, it can be easily found that  $\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{g} \rangle$ , so without loss of generality we assume that  $\langle \mathbf{g} \rangle = 0$ . For simplicity, we deal here with Gaussian random fields, so we suppose that  $g_i$  are Gaussian random processes, which implies due to (3), that the solution,  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$  and  $p(\mathbf{x})$  are also Gaussian random fields. Then, these zero mean random fields are uniquely defined by their correlation functions. In the next section we obtain exact series representations for the correlation functions.

#### 2.1 Poisson Formula in Polar Coordinates

It is natural to use polar coordinates,  $\mathbf{x} = r e^{i\theta}$ , where  $r \geq R$  is the radial coordinate, and  $\theta$  is the angular coordinate, so that  $u_i = u_i(r, \theta), i = 1, 2$ . Moreover, we turn also to polar coordinates for the velocity components, i.e., we introduce new velocities,  $u_r$  and  $u_\theta$  by the transform  $\mathbf{u} = u_r \mathbf{e}_r + u_\theta \mathbf{e}_\theta$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_\theta$  are unit vectors in directions  $r$  and  $\theta$ , respectively. Then the vectors  $(u_1, u_2)^T$  and  $(u_r, u_\theta)^T$  are related through a rotation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1(r, \theta) \\ u_2(r, \theta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_r(r, \theta) \\ u_\theta(r, \theta) \end{bmatrix},$$

and conversely,

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_r(r, \theta) \\ u_\theta(r, \theta) \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{R}_\theta^T \begin{bmatrix} u_1(r, \theta) \\ u_2(r, \theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

where we use the notation for the rotation matrix

$$\mathcal{R}_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $\mathcal{R}_\theta^T$  means the transpose to  $\mathcal{R}_\theta$ .

We will use a spherical mean value relation for the velocity vector which is a generalization of the well known Poisson integral formula

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_r(r, \theta) \\ u_\theta(r, \theta) \end{bmatrix} = \int_0^{2\pi} \begin{bmatrix} G_{11}(r; \theta - \varphi) & G_{12}(r; \theta - \varphi) \\ G_{21}(r; \theta - \varphi) & G_{22}(r; \theta - \varphi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_r(Re^{i\varphi}) \\ g_\theta(Re^{i\varphi}) \end{bmatrix} d\varphi. \tag{3}$$

The entries  $G_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ) can be derived explicitly [36]:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{11}(r; \theta) &= \cos \theta K(r, \theta) + \frac{r^2 - R^2}{2r^2} \left\{ \cos \theta \left( -r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K(r, \theta) \right) + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} K(r, \theta) \right\}, \\ G_{12}(r; \theta) &= \sin \theta K(r, \theta) + \frac{r^2 - R^2}{2r^2} \left\{ \sin \theta \left( -r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K(r, \theta) \right) - \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} K(r, \theta) \right\}, \\ G_{21}(r; \theta) &= -\sin \theta K(r, \theta) + \frac{r^2 - R^2}{2r^2} \left\{ \sin \theta \left( -r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K(r, \theta) \right) - \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} K(r, \theta) \right\}, \\ G_{22}(r; \theta) &= \cos \theta K(r, \theta) - \frac{r^2 - R^2}{2r^2} \left\{ \cos \theta \left( -r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K(r, \theta) \right) + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} K(r, \theta) \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

while the pressure  $p(r, \theta)$  is related to the velocities  $u_r(R, \theta)$  and  $u_\theta(R, \theta)$  on the boundary by analogous Poisson type integral formula:

$$p(r, \theta) = \frac{2\eta}{r} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(r; \theta - \varphi) u_r(Re^{i\varphi}) d\varphi + \int_0^{2\pi} P_\theta(r; \theta - \varphi) u_\theta(Re^{i\varphi}) d\varphi \right\} \tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(r; \theta) &= \cos \theta \left( -r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K(r, \theta) \right) + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} K(r, \theta), \\ P_\theta(r; \theta) &= \sin \theta \left( -r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K(r, \theta) \right) - \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} K(r, \theta). \end{aligned}$$

In (4)–(5),  $K(r, \theta)$  is the Poisson kernel for the harmonic equation in an exterior circular domain of radius  $R$ :

$$K(r, \theta) = \frac{r^2 - R^2}{2\pi(R^2 + r^2 - 2Rr \cos \theta)}, \quad r > R.$$

In the Karhunen-Loève expansion we will use series expansions of the kernels which can be readily derived starting from the well known series [2]:

$$K(r, \theta) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - 2\rho \cos(\theta) + \rho^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho^k \cos(k\theta), \quad \rho < 1, \tag{6}$$

where we introduced the notation  $\rho = R/r$ .

To get a series expansions for the kernels, we use (6) and the following easily verified series representations:

$$-r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\rho^k \cos(k\theta), \quad \frac{\partial K(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\rho^k \sin(k\theta).$$

Substitution of these series expansions in (3) and (5) leads to the desired expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{11}(r; \theta) &= \frac{\rho}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ 2\rho + \frac{k(1 - \rho^2)}{\rho} \right] \rho^k \cos(k\theta), \\ G_{12}(r; \theta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)}{\rho} k\rho^k \sin(k\theta), \\ G_{21}(r; \theta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)}{\rho} (k - 2)\rho^k \sin(k\theta), \\ G_{22}(r; \theta) &= \frac{\rho}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{2}{\rho} - \frac{k(1 - \rho^2)}{\rho} \right] \rho^k \cos(k\theta), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and for the kernels  $P_r$  and  $P_\theta$ :

$$P_r(r; \theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\rho^k \cos[(k + 1)\theta], \quad P_\theta(r; \theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\rho^k \sin[(k + 1)\theta].$$

Thus we can express the pressure through the boundary velocities  $g_r$  and  $g_\theta$  by

$$p(r, \theta) = \int_0^{2\pi} P_1(r; \theta - \varphi) g_r(R e^{i\varphi}) d\varphi + \int_0^{2\pi} P_2(r; \theta - \varphi) g_\theta(R e^{i\varphi}) d\varphi \tag{8}$$

where

$$P_1(r; \theta) = \frac{2\eta}{\pi R} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\rho^{k+1} \cos[(k + 1)\theta], \quad P_2(r; \theta) = \frac{2\eta}{\pi R} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\rho^{k+1} \sin[(k + 1)\theta].$$

Let us introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{11}(\rho, k) &= \rho + \frac{k(1 - \rho^2)}{2\rho}, & \lambda_{12}(\rho, k) &= \frac{k(1 - \rho^2)}{2\rho}, \\ \lambda_{21}(\rho, k) &= \frac{(k - 2)(1 - \rho^2)}{2\rho}, & \lambda_{22}(\rho, k) &= \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{k(1 - \rho^2)}{2\rho}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

so that the series expansions (7) take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{11}(r; \theta) &= \frac{\rho}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{11}(\rho, k) \rho^k \cos(k\theta), \\
 G_{12}(r; \theta) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{12}(\rho, k) \rho^k \sin(k\theta), \\
 G_{21}(r; \theta) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{21}(\rho, k) \rho^k \sin(k\theta), \\
 G_{22}(r; \theta) &= \frac{\rho}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{22}(\rho, k) \rho^k \cos(k\theta).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{10}$$

Let us verify that the velocity series representation is divergence-free:

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (ru_r)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{u_\theta}{\partial \theta} = 0.$$

From (3) it follows that it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r(G_{11}(r; \theta) + G_{12}(r; \theta))] + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [r(G_{21}(r; \theta) + G_{22}(r; \theta))] = 0.$$

This in turn follows from the explicit series representations:

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r(G_{11}(r; \theta))] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [r(G_{21}(r; \theta))] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(k-2)(\rho^{k-1} - \rho^{k+1}) \cos(k\theta)$$

and

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r(G_{12}(r; \theta))] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [r(G_{22}(r; \theta))] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k(k-2)\rho^{k-1} - k^2\rho^{k+1}) \sin(k\theta).$$

Analogously, we can verify that the series representations for the velocity and pressure satisfy the Stokes equation (2).

### 3 K-L Expansion of the Velocity

#### 3.1 White Noise Excitations

In this section we will obtain the Karhunen-Loève expansion for the velocity vector over a set of eigen-functions of the correlation operator. For this purpose we derive now some properties of the kernel functions  $G_{ij}$ .

First we note that from (9) we have the following property

$$\lambda_{11} - \lambda_{12} = \lambda_{21} + \lambda_{22} = \rho.
 \tag{11}$$

Let us consider the eigen-value problem for the integral operator with the kernel  $G$ :

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \begin{bmatrix} G_{11}(\rho; \theta - \varphi) & G_{12}(\rho; \theta - \varphi) \\ G_{21}(\rho; \theta - \varphi) & G_{22}(\rho; \theta - \varphi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_1(\varphi) \\ h_2(\varphi) \end{bmatrix} d\varphi = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} h_1(\theta) \\ h_2(\theta) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

**Theorem 1** *The eigen-value problem (12) has the following system of eigen-values and corresponding eigen-functions ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ):*

$$\lambda_{2k-1} = \lambda_{2k} = \rho^{k+1}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} h_{1,2k-1} \\ h_{2,2k-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos k\theta \\ \sin k\theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} h_{1,2k} \\ h_{2,2k} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin k\theta \\ -\cos k\theta \end{bmatrix},$$

and for  $\lambda = \rho$ , the column-vector  $(1, 1)^T$  is the corresponding eigen-function.

*Proof* From the expansions (7) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} G_{11}(\rho; \theta - \varphi) \begin{bmatrix} \sin k\varphi \\ \cos k\varphi \end{bmatrix} d\varphi &= \lambda_{11}(\rho, k) \begin{bmatrix} \sin k\theta \\ \cos k\theta \end{bmatrix}, \\ \int_0^{2\pi} G_{12}(\rho; \theta - \varphi) \begin{bmatrix} \cos k\varphi \\ \sin k\varphi \end{bmatrix} d\varphi &= \lambda_{12}(\rho, k) \begin{bmatrix} \sin k\theta \\ -\cos k\theta \end{bmatrix}, \\ \int_0^{2\pi} G_{21}(\rho; \theta - \varphi) \begin{bmatrix} \sin k\varphi \\ \cos k\varphi \end{bmatrix} d\varphi &= \lambda_{21}(\rho, k) \begin{bmatrix} -\cos k\theta \\ \sin k\theta \end{bmatrix}, \\ \int_0^{2\pi} G_{22}(\rho; \theta - \varphi) \begin{bmatrix} \cos k\varphi \\ \sin k\varphi \end{bmatrix} d\varphi &= \lambda_{22}(\rho, k) \begin{bmatrix} \cos k\theta \\ \sin k\theta \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Now, by substituting these equalities in the eigen-value problem (12) and using the property (11) we find the solution of the eigen-value problem for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . The existence of the eigen-function  $(1, 1)^T$  for  $\lambda = \rho$  follows from the properties

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} G_{11}(\rho; \theta - \varphi) \cdot 1 d\varphi &= \rho, & \int_0^{2\pi} G_{22}(\rho; \theta - \varphi) \cdot 1 d\varphi &= \rho, \\ \int_0^{2\pi} G_{12}(\rho; \theta - \varphi) \cdot 1 d\varphi &= 0, & \int_0^{2\pi} G_{21}(\rho; \theta - \varphi) \cdot 1 d\varphi &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

We turn now to the derivation of the correlation tensor of the solution,

$$\begin{aligned} B_u(\rho_1, \theta_1; \rho_2, \theta_2) &= \langle \mathbf{u}(r_1, \theta_1) \otimes \mathbf{u}(r_2, \theta_2) \rangle \\ &\equiv \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} u_r(r_1, \theta_1) \\ u_\theta(r_1, \theta_1) \end{bmatrix} (u_r(r_2, \theta_2), u_\theta(r_2, \theta_2)) \right\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

assuming the boundary random vector-function  $\mathbf{g}$  has a Gaussian distribution specified by the zero mean and covariance tensor

$$B_g(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \langle \mathbf{g}(\varphi_1) \otimes \mathbf{g}(\varphi_2) \rangle = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} g_r(\varphi_1) \\ g_\theta(\varphi_1) \end{bmatrix} (g_r(\varphi_2), g_\theta(\varphi_2)) \right\rangle.$$

We use here and in what follows the notation  $\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}$  for a tensor product of two vectors:  $\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{vu}^T$ .



Substituting the representation (3) in (14) and changing the relevant product of integral expressions by double integrals, we get

$$B_u(\rho_1, \theta_1; \rho_2, \theta_2) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G(\rho_1; \theta_1 - \varphi') B_g(\varphi', \varphi'') G^T(\rho_2; \theta_2 - \varphi'') d\varphi' d\varphi''. \tag{15}$$

Now let us consider the white noise excitations. In this case, the boundary vector-function  $\mathbf{g}$  is a white noise, hence,

$$B_g(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \delta(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) & 0 \\ 0 & \delta(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{16}$$

Note that this property follows from the assumption that in the Cartesian coordinates,  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are independent white noise processes.

Then, from (15) we obtain

$$B_u(\rho_1, \theta_1; \rho_2, \theta_2) = \int_0^{2\pi} G(\rho_1; \theta_1 - \varphi) G^T(\rho_2; \theta_2 - \varphi) d\varphi. \tag{17}$$

**Theorem 2** *The exact Karhunen-Loève expansions for the entries of the correlation tensor (14), and the random field  $(u_r, u_\theta)^T$  which solves the Stokes equation under the boundary white noise excitations with the covariance tensor (16) are given by*

$$(B_u(\rho_1, \theta_1; \rho_2, \theta_2))_{ij} = \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{2\pi} \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{ij}(\rho_1, \rho_2; k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \sin\left[\frac{\pi}{2} \delta_{ij} + k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)\right], \tag{18}$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{11}(\rho_1, \rho_2; k) &= \lambda_{11}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{11}(\rho_2, k) + \lambda_{12}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{12}(\rho_2, k), \\ \Lambda_{12}(\rho_1, \rho_2; k) &= \lambda_{11}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{21}(\rho_2, k) - \lambda_{12}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{22}(\rho_2, k), \\ \Lambda_{21}(\rho_1, \rho_2; k) &= \lambda_{22}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{12}(\rho_2, k) - \lambda_{21}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{11}(\rho_2, k), \\ \Lambda_{22}(\rho_1, \rho_2; k) &= \lambda_{22}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{22}(\rho_2, k) + \lambda_{21}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{21}(\rho_2, k), \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_r(r, \theta) &= \frac{\xi_0 \rho}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{11}(\rho, k) \rho^k [\xi_k \cos k\theta + \eta_k \sin k\theta] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{12}(\rho, k) \rho^k [-\eta'_k \cos k\theta + \xi'_k \sin k\theta], \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_\theta(r, \theta) &= \frac{\xi'_0 \rho}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{21}(\rho, k) \rho^k [-\eta_k \cos k\theta + \xi_k \sin k\theta] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{22}(\rho, k) \rho^k [\xi'_k \cos k\theta + \eta'_k \sin k\theta]. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Here  $\{\xi_k, \eta_k\}$  and  $\{\xi'_k, \eta'_k\}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  are two independent families of standard independent Gaussian random variables. Thus the random field is homogeneous with respect to the

angular variable, and the respective partial spectral matrix  $S(\rho_1, \rho_2; k)$  is defined by its entries:  $S_{mm}(\rho_1, \rho_2; k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Lambda_{mm} \rho_1^k \rho_2^k$ ,  $S_{mm}(\rho_1, \rho_2; 0) = \rho_1 \rho_2 / 2\pi$ , and for  $n \neq m$  the spectrum is pure imaginary:  $S_{mn}(\rho_1, \rho_2; k) = i \frac{1}{\pi} \Lambda_{mn} \rho_1^k \rho_2^k$ ,  $m, n = 1, 2$ .

*Proof* The expansion of the correlation tensor is obtained as follows: we substitute the representations (10) in (17) and use the eigen-function properties (13). This immediately yields the exact series representation given in the theorem.

To construct the explicit simulation formula (20), (21) for our random field, we first split it into two independent Gaussian random fields:

$$\mathbf{u}(r, \theta) = \mathbf{V}_1(r, \theta) + \mathbf{V}_2(r, \theta).$$

We will show now that for each of these random fields we can obtain a Karhunen-Loève expansion.

We introduce two pairs of families of single mode vector functions, the first one,

$$\mathbf{h}_{1k}(\rho, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{11}(\rho, k) \cos k\theta \\ \lambda_{21}(\rho, k) \sin k\theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1k}(\rho, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{11}(\rho, k) \sin k\theta \\ -\lambda_{21}(\rho, k) \cos k\theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

and the second one,

$$\mathbf{h}_{2k}(\rho, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda_{12}(\rho, k) \cos k\theta \\ \lambda_{22}(\rho, k) \sin k\theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{2k}(\rho, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{12}(\rho, k) \sin k\theta \\ \lambda_{22}(\rho, k) \cos k\theta \end{bmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

Here the modes are indexed by  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , while the subindexes  $_1$  and  $_2$  stand for the first and second series of eigen-functions. Each of the four systems of functions (22), (23) is orthogonal, but all the functions do not form one system of orthogonal functions. We can however construct from these basis functions two orthogonal systems since these vectors are pairwise orthogonal:

$$\int_0^1 d\rho \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \mathbf{h}_{1k} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1j} = 0, \quad \int_0^1 d\rho \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \mathbf{h}_{2k} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{2j} = 0, \quad \forall j, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

To complete the systems we add two orthogonal vectors

$$\mathbf{h}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is now a matter of technical evaluations to find that the correlation tensor can be represented in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} B_u(\rho_1, \theta_1; \rho_2, \theta_2) &= \mathbf{h}_0(\rho_1) \mathbf{h}_0^T(\rho_2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ \mathbf{h}_{1k}(\rho_1, \theta_1) \mathbf{h}_{1k}^T(\rho_2, \theta_2) + \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1k}(\rho_1, \theta_1) \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1k}^T(\rho_2, \theta_2) \} \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_0(\rho_1) \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_0^T(\rho_2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ \mathbf{h}_{2k}(\rho_1, \theta_1) \mathbf{h}_{2k}^T(\rho_2, \theta_2) + \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{2k}(\rho_1, \theta_1) \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{2k}^T(\rho_2, \theta_2) \} \rho_1^k \rho_2^k. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

This follows from the easily verified representation

$$\mathbf{h}_{1k}(\rho_1, \theta_1)\mathbf{h}_{1k}^T(\rho_2, \theta_2) + \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1k}(\rho_1, \theta_1)\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1k}^T(\rho_2, \theta_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{11}(\rho_1, k)\lambda_{11}(\rho_2, k) \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] & \lambda_{11}(\rho_1, k)\lambda_{21}(\rho_2, k) \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] \\ -\lambda_{21}(\rho_1, k)\lambda_{11}(\rho_2, k) \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] & \lambda_{21}(\rho_1, k)\lambda_{22}(\rho_2, k) \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{h}_{2k}(\rho_1, \theta_1)\mathbf{h}_{2k}^T(\rho_2, \theta_2) + \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{2k}(\rho_1, \theta_1)\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{2k}^T(\rho_2, \theta_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{12}(\rho_1, k)\lambda_{12}(\rho_2, k) \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] & -\lambda_{12}(\rho_1, k)\lambda_{22}(\rho_2, k) \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] \\ \lambda_{22}(\rho_1, k)\lambda_{12}(\rho_2, k) \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] & \lambda_{22}(\rho_1, k)\lambda_{22}(\rho_2, k) \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] \end{bmatrix}.$$

So we can see that the first and the second pairs of lines ((24) and (25), respectively) present the covariances of the first and second vectors in our splitting, respectively:

$$B_u = \langle \mathbf{u}(r_1, \theta_1)\mathbf{u}^T(r_2, \theta_2) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{V}_1(r_1, \theta_1)\mathbf{V}_1^T(r_2, \theta_2) \rangle + \langle \mathbf{V}_2(r_1, \theta_1)\mathbf{V}_2^T(r_2, \theta_2) \rangle,$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{V_1} &= \mathbf{h}_0(\rho_1)\mathbf{h}_0^T(\rho_2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ \mathbf{h}_{1k}(\rho_1, \theta_1)\mathbf{h}_{1k}^T(\rho_2, \theta_2) + \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1k}(\rho_1, \theta_1)\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1k}^T(\rho_2, \theta_2) \} \rho_1^k \rho_2^k, \\ B_{V_2} &= \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_0(\rho_1)\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_0^T(\rho_2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ \mathbf{h}_{2k}(\rho_1, \theta_1)\mathbf{h}_{2k}^T(\rho_2, \theta_2) + \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{2k}(\rho_1, \theta_1)\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{2k}^T(\rho_2, \theta_2) \} \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_{V_1} = \langle \mathbf{V}_1(r_1, \theta_1)\mathbf{V}_1^T(r_2, \theta_2) \rangle, \quad B_{V_2} = \langle \mathbf{V}_2(r_1, \theta_1)\mathbf{V}_2^T(r_2, \theta_2) \rangle.$$

Note that each part, i.e.,  $B_{V_1}$  and  $B_{V_2}$ , is represented as an orthogonal-mode expansion. Therefore, we can construct a K-L-expansion for our random fields  $\mathbf{V}_1$  and  $\mathbf{V}_2$ .

We have not yet normalized the eigen-functions. We can do it through dividing the angular modes by  $\sqrt{\pi}$ , and the radial modes by

$$\Delta_1(k) = \int_0^1 (\lambda_{11}^2 + \lambda_{21}^2) dp$$

for the first family of eigen-functions (22), and by

$$\Delta_2(k) = \int_0^1 (\lambda_{12}^2 + \lambda_{22}^2) dp$$

for the second family of eigen-functions (23). We then collect the orthonormal eigen-modes in two families:

$$\mathcal{H}_{2k-1}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_1(k)\pi}} \mathbf{h}_{1k}(\rho, \theta), \quad \mathcal{H}_{2k}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_1(k)\pi}} \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1k}(\rho, \theta), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{2k-1}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_2(k)\pi}} \mathbf{h}_{2k}(\rho, \theta), \quad \mathcal{H}_{2k}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_2(k)\pi}} \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{2k}(\rho, \theta), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then, the orthonormal functions  $\mathcal{H}_k^{(1)}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_k^{(2)}$  are eigen-functions of the covariance tensors  $B_{V_1}$  and  $B_{V_2}$ , respectively, with the corresponding eigen-values  $\Delta_1(k)$  and  $\Delta_2(k)$ :

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} B_{V_m} \cdot \mathcal{H}_k^{(m)}(\rho_2, \theta_2) d\rho_2 d\theta_2 = \Delta_m(k) \mathcal{H}_k^{(m)}(\rho_1, \theta_1), \quad m = 1, 2.$$

We thus end up with the K-L-expansion for the random field  $\mathbf{V}_1(r, \theta)$  in the form

$$\mathbf{V}_1(r, \theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta_k \mathcal{H}_k^{(1)}(\rho, \theta)$$

where  $\zeta_k$  are Gaussian random variables such that

$$\langle \zeta_k \zeta_j \rangle = \Delta_1(k) \delta_{jk},$$

and the same for  $\mathbf{V}_2(r, \theta)$ .

Putting these expansions together we conclude with the desired representation (20), (21).

Finally note that the spectra given in the theorem are obtained immediately from the expansion of the correlation function. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

### 3.2 General Case of Homogeneous Excitations

So far we studied the case where  $g_r$  and  $g_\theta$  were two independent white noise processes. We will show now that the general situation when  $g_r$  and  $g_\theta$  are some arbitrary possibly dependent homogeneous processes, is basically derived from the white noise case.

Assume we are given two homogeneous zero mean processes  $g_r$  and  $g_\theta$  with the correlation tensor  $B_g(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)$  (with the entries  $B_{g,ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ ) defined on the boundary. As shown above, the correlation tensor  $B_u$  is related to  $B_g$  by the double integral representation (15). Changing the integration variable  $\varphi''$  to a new one,  $\psi$  by  $\varphi'' - \varphi' = \psi$ , for  $\mathbf{u} = (u_\rho, u_\theta)^T$  we obtain from (15)

$$B_u(\rho_1, \theta_1; \rho_2, \theta_2) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G(\rho_1; \theta_1 - \varphi') B_g(\psi) G^T(\rho_2; \theta_2 - \psi - \varphi') d\varphi' d\psi. \quad (26)$$

The inner integral with respect to  $\varphi'$  can be evaluated explicitly using the series expansions for the kernel  $G(\rho, \theta)$  given above in (7).

It is convenient to rewrite the relation (26) in a different form. We take now the entries of the correlation tensor  $B_u$ , and define a column-vector function  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_u$  as follows  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_u = (B_{u,11}, B_{u,12}, B_{u,21}, B_{u,22})^T$ . Analogously, we use the notation  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_g$  for the column-vector  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_g = (B_{g,11}, B_{g,12}, B_{g,21}, B_{g,22})^T$ .

**Theorem 3** *Under the assumptions that the boundary random functions  $g_r$  and  $g_\theta$  are statistically homogeneous, with the given correlation tensor  $B_g(\varphi)$ , the solution of the Stokes problem is a random field partially homogeneous with respect to the angular coordinate,*

and the correlation functions  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_u$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_g(\varphi)$  are related by the convolution

$$\hat{\mathbf{B}}_u(\rho_1, \rho_2; \theta) = \int_0^{2\pi} A(\rho_1, \rho_2; \theta - \psi) \hat{\mathbf{B}}_g(\psi) d\psi \tag{27}$$

where the matrix  $A$  is explicitly given by its entries (30)–(33).

*Proof* In the introduced notation, the equality (26) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_u(\rho_1, \theta_1; \rho_2, \theta_2) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G(\rho_1; \theta_1 - \varphi') \\ &\otimes G(\rho_2; \theta_2 - \psi - \varphi') \hat{\mathbf{B}}_g(\psi) d\varphi' d\psi. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Here we denote by  $\otimes$  a tensor product of two matrices which is defined as a  $4 \times 4$  matrix, represented as a  $2 \times 2$ -block matrix each block being a  $2 \times 2$  matrix of the form

$$G_{ij}(\rho_1; \theta_1 - \varphi') G(\rho_2; \theta_2 - \psi - \varphi'), \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

The entries  $a_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  of the matrix

$$A = \int_0^{2\pi} G(\rho_1; \theta_1 - \varphi') \otimes G(\rho_2; \theta_2 - \psi - \varphi') d\varphi' \tag{29}$$

can be found explicitly. Indeed, substituting the series representation of the matrix  $G$  given by (7) in (29) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} &= \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{11}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{11}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)], \\ a_{12} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{11}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{12}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)], \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$a_{13} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{12}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{11}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)],$$

$$a_{14} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{12}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{12}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)],$$

$$a_{21} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{11}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{21}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)],$$

$$a_{22} = \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{11}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{22}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)], \tag{31}$$

$$a_{23} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{12}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{21}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)],$$

$$a_{24} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{12}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{22}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{31} &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{21}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{11}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)], \\
 a_{32} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{21}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{12}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)], \\
 a_{33} &= \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{22}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{11}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)], \\
 a_{34} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{22}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{12}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)], \\
 a_{41} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{21}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{21}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)], \\
 a_{42} &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{21}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{22}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)], \\
 a_{43} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{22}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{21}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)], \\
 a_{44} &= \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{22}(\rho_1, k) \lambda_{22}(\rho_2, k) \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \psi)].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{32}$$

$$\tag{33}$$

Thus we see from these formulae that the entries of the matrix  $A$  depend on the difference  $\theta = \theta_2 - \theta_1$ , hence the correlation tensor  $B_u$  also depends on  $\theta = \theta_2 - \theta_1$ , and from (28), (29) we arrive at the desired convolution representation (27). The proof of Theorem 3 is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 1* Note that if the boundary correlation tensor  $B_g$  is given by its spectral expansion, we can express the correlation tensor of the solution through the spectra. For instance, assuming the spectral tensor is real-valued, so that

$$B_{g,ij}(\varphi'' - \varphi) = \frac{f_{ij}(0)}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{ij}(k) \cos k(\varphi'' - \varphi), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \tag{34}$$

we can derive a general formula for the covariance tensor by substituting this expansion in (26). After routine evaluations we obtain the general formulae

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{11} &= \frac{f_{11}(0)\rho_1\rho_2}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_1^k \rho_2^k (\Lambda_{11}^c \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] + \Lambda_{11}^s \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)]), \\
 B_{12} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_1^k \rho_2^k (\Lambda_{12}^c \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] + \Lambda_{12}^s \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)]), \\
 B_{21} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_1^k \rho_2^k (\Lambda_{21}^c \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] + \Lambda_{21}^s \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)]),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$B_{22} = \frac{f_{22}(0)\rho_1\rho_2}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_1^k \rho_2^k (\Lambda_{22}^c \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] + \Lambda_{22}^s \sin[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)]),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{11}^c &= f_{11}\lambda_{11}^1\lambda_{11}^2 + f_{22}\lambda_{12}^1\lambda_{12}^2, & \Lambda_{11}^s &= f_{21}\lambda_{11}^1\lambda_{12}^2 - f_{12}\lambda_{12}^1\lambda_{11}^2, \\ \Lambda_{12}^c &= f_{21}\lambda_{12}^1\lambda_{21}^2 + f_{12}\lambda_{11}^1\lambda_{22}^2, & \Lambda_{12}^s &= f_{11}\lambda_{11}^1\lambda_{21}^2 - f_{22}\lambda_{12}^1\lambda_{22}^2, \\ \Lambda_{21}^c &= f_{21}\lambda_{22}^1\lambda_{11}^2 + f_{12}\lambda_{21}^1\lambda_{12}^2, & \Lambda_{21}^s &= f_{22}\lambda_{22}^1\lambda_{12}^2 - f_{11}\lambda_{21}^1\lambda_{11}^2, \\ \Lambda_{22}^c &= f_{22}\lambda_{22}^1\lambda_{22}^2 + f_{11}\lambda_{21}^1\lambda_{21}^2, & \Lambda_{22}^s &= f_{21}\lambda_{22}^1\lambda_{21}^2 - f_{12}\lambda_{21}^1\lambda_{22}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the notations  $\lambda_{ij}^m = \lambda_{ij}(\rho_m, k)$ ,  $m = 1, 2$ .

Analogous arguments can be used to derive the K-L expansion for the solution. Let us do it in a matrix form, to save a space. Let  $\Phi$  be the spectral matrix with the entries  $f_{ij}$  defined in (34), and let  $\Phi^{1/2}$  be the ‘‘square root’’ of  $\Phi$ , i.e.,  $\Phi = \Phi^{1/2}(\Phi^{1/2})^*$  where the star stands for the conjugate transpose. The same notation is used for the spectral matrix  $S(\rho_1, \rho_2; k)$  defined at the end of Theorem 2 by its entries  $S_{ij}(\rho_1, \rho_2; k)$ ,  $S(\rho_1, \rho_2; k) = S^{1/2}(\rho_1, k)(S^{1/2}(\rho_2, k))^*$  where the matrix  $S^{1/2}(\rho, k)$  has the following simple form:

$$S^{1/2}(\rho, k) = \frac{\rho^k}{\sqrt{\pi}} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{11}(\rho, k) & -i\lambda_{12}(\rho, k) \\ -i\lambda_{21}(\rho, k) & \lambda_{22}(\rho, k) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using this factorization we can find by direct evaluations that the random field

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} u_r(\rho, \theta) \\ u_\theta(\rho, \theta) \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{\xi_0\rho}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathbb{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi^{1/2}(k) \left\{ [\Re S^{1/2}(\rho, k)\xi_k + \Im S^{1/2}(k)\eta_k] \cos k\theta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [\Re S^{1/2}(\rho, k)\eta_k - \Im S^{1/2}(k)\xi_k] \sin k\theta \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

has the desired correlation tensor.

Here we use the notation  $\Re S^{1/2}$  and  $\Im S^{1/2}$  for the real and imaginary part of  $S^{1/2}$ , respectively,  $\mathbb{I}$  is the identity matrix, and  $\xi_k, \eta_k$  are two independent families of independent standard Gaussian 2D vectors.

Note that in a particular case when the boundary excitations  $g_r$  and  $g_\theta$  are independent, the spectral matrix  $\Phi(k)$  is diagonal, and the simulation formula (35) takes the form of (20), (21) where in (20),  $\lambda_{11}$  should be changed with  $\lambda_{11}\Phi_{11}^{1/2}$ , and  $\lambda_{12}$ , with  $\lambda_{12}\Phi_{22}^{1/2}$ ; in (21),  $\lambda_{22}$  should be changed with  $\lambda_{22}\Phi_{22}^{1/2}$ , and  $\lambda_{21}$ , with  $\lambda_{21}\Phi_{11}^{1/2}$ .

*Remark 2* Note that using the relation between the vectors in polar and rectangular coordinates,

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1(r, \theta) \\ u_2(r, \theta) \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{R}_\theta \begin{bmatrix} u_\rho(r, \theta) \\ u_\theta(r, \theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

we can easily relate the desired statistical characteristics in these two coordinate systems. For example, the covariance tensors are related as follows

$$B_{(u_1, u_2)}(\rho_1, \rho_2; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \mathcal{R}_{\theta_1} B_{(u_r, u_\theta)}(\rho_1, \rho_2; \theta_1, \theta_2) \mathcal{R}_{\theta_2}^T. \tag{36}$$

The K-L-expansion in the rectangular coordinates is also obtained directly from the K-L-expansion of the random field in the polar coordinates on the basis that the eigenfunctions are related by  $h_{rectangular} = \mathcal{R}_\theta h_{polar}$  and  $\tilde{h}_{rectangular} = \mathcal{R}_\theta \tilde{h}_{polar}$ .

Let us write down here the relation (36) in details. We denote the entries of the covariance matrix  $B_{(u_1, u_2)}$  by  $B_{ij}^{rec}$ , and the entries of the covariance matrix  $B_{(u_r, u_\theta)}$  by  $B_{ij}^{pol}$ . From (36) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{11}^{rec} &= \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 B_{11}^{pol} - \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 B_{12}^{pol} - \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 B_{21}^{pol} + \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 B_{22}^{pol}, \\ B_{12}^{rec} &= \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 B_{11}^{pol} + \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 B_{12}^{pol} - \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 B_{21}^{pol} - \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 B_{22}^{pol}, \\ B_{21}^{rec} &= \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 B_{11}^{pol} - \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 B_{12}^{pol} + \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 B_{21}^{pol} - \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 B_{22}^{pol}, \\ B_{22}^{rec} &= \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 B_{11}^{pol} + \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 B_{12}^{pol} + \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_1 B_{21}^{pol} + \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 B_{22}^{pol}. \end{aligned}$$

### 4 Correlation Function of the Pressure

#### 4.1 White Noise Excitations

From (8), it is easy to derive an explicit representation for the correlation function of the pressure  $p(r, \theta)$ . Indeed, let us denote by  $B_p$  the correlation function of the pressure:  $B_p(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2) = \langle p(r_1, \theta_1) p(r_2, \theta_2) \rangle$ .

**Theorem 4** *Assume that the functions  $g_r$  and  $g_\theta$  prescribed on the boundary are independent Gaussian white noise random processes defined on the circle  $S(0, R)$ :  $B_\varphi = \langle g_i(\varphi_1) g_j(\varphi_2) \rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ , where we mean that  $g_1 = g_r$ ,  $g_2 = g_\theta$ . Then  $B_p(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2)$ , the correlation function of the pressure  $p(r, \theta)$  has the following series representation*

$$B_p = B_p(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2) = \frac{8\eta^2}{\pi R^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \rho_1^{k+1} \rho_2^{k+1} \cos[(k+1)(\theta_2 - \theta_1)]. \tag{37}$$

Thus the correlation function (37) depends on the difference  $\theta = \theta_2 - \theta_1$  and the product  $\rho = \rho_1 \rho_2$ :  $B_p = B_p(\rho, \theta)$ . Moreover, it can be explicitly expressed as

$$B_p(\rho, \theta) = \frac{8\eta^2}{\pi R^2} \left[ \rho \cos(\theta) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} B_\Delta(\rho, \theta) + \rho^2 \sin(\theta) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \rho} B_\Delta(\rho, \theta) \right] \tag{38}$$

where  $B_\Delta(\rho, \theta)$  is the correlation function for the Laplace equation under white noise boundary excitations derived in [25]:

$$B_\Delta(\rho, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - 2\rho \cos \theta + \rho^2}.$$

*Proof* Changing the product of integrals by double integrals and using the definition of the white noise we find

$$\begin{aligned} B_p(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2) &= \langle p(r_1, \theta_1) p(r_2, \theta_2) \rangle \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[ \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} P_1(r_1; \theta_1 - \varphi') g_r(\varphi') d\varphi' + \int_0^{2\pi} P_2(r_1; \theta_1 - \varphi') g_\theta(\varphi') d\varphi' \right\} \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} P_1(r_2; \theta_2 - \varphi'') g_r(\varphi'') d\varphi'' + \int_0^{2\pi} P_2(r_2; \theta_2 - \varphi'') g_\theta(\varphi'') d\varphi'' \right\} \right] \\
 &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ P_1(r_1; \theta_1 - \varphi') P_1(r_2; \theta_2 - \varphi'') \langle g_r(\varphi') g_r(\varphi'') \rangle \right. \\
 &\quad + P_1(r_1; \theta_1 - \varphi') P_2(r_2; \theta_2 - \varphi'') \langle g_r(\varphi') g_\theta(\varphi'') \rangle \\
 &\quad + P_2(r_1; \theta_1 - \varphi') P_1(r_2; \theta_2 - \varphi'') \langle g_\theta(\varphi') g_r(\varphi'') \rangle \\
 &\quad \left. + P_2(r_1; \theta_1 - \varphi') P_2(r_2; \theta_2 - \varphi'') \langle g_\theta(\varphi') g_\theta(\varphi'') \rangle \right\} d\varphi' d\varphi'' \\
 &= \int_0^{2\pi} P_1(r_1; \theta_1 - \varphi) P_1(r_2; \theta_2 - \varphi) d\varphi \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{2\pi} P_2(r_1; \theta_1 - \varphi) P_2(r_2; \theta_2 - \varphi) d\varphi. \tag{39}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we substitute the expansions for  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  given by (8). This yields

$$B_p(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2) = \frac{8\eta^2}{\pi R^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \rho_1^{k+1} \rho_2^{k+1} \cos[(k+1)(\theta_2 - \theta_1)].$$

The exact expression (38) follows from (37) and the series representation for the correlation function of the solution to the Laplace equation under white noise excitations derived in my recent paper [24]:

$$B_\Delta = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho^k \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - 2\rho \cos \theta + \rho^2}$$

where we recall that  $\rho = \rho_1 \rho_2$ , and  $\theta = \theta_2 - \theta_1$ .

The proof is complete. □

It is interesting to note that we could obtain expressions for the pressure by substituting formally a generalized representation of the boundary white noises on the circle

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_r(\varphi) &= \frac{\xi_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\xi_k \cos k\varphi + \eta_k \sin k\varphi], \\
 g_\theta(\varphi) &= \frac{\xi'_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\xi'_k \cos k\varphi + \eta'_k \sin k\varphi]
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_l$  and  $\eta_k$  are families of independent standard Gaussian random variables, into the Poisson formula (8) with the kernels  $P_1(r; \theta)$  and  $P_2(r; \theta)$ . Indeed, a little algebra results in the representation for the random pressure:

$$p(r, \theta) = \frac{4\eta}{\sqrt{2\pi} R} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \rho^{k+1} \{ \xi_k \cos[(k+1)\theta] + \eta_k \sin[(k+1)\theta] \}.$$

Note that from this representation the series expansion (37) is easily obtained by direct evaluation.

### 4.2 Homogeneous Random Boundary Excitations

We extend the result of Theorem 4 to the case of general homogeneous random boundary excitations. So we suppose that the velocity  $\mathbf{g}(\psi)$  prescribed on the circle  $S(0, R)$  is a real-valued vector zero mean homogeneous random process. Let us denote the correlation tensor of  $\mathbf{g}$  by  $B^{(g)}(\psi)$  with the entries  $B_{ij}^{(g)}(\psi) = \langle g_i(\psi_1)g_j(\psi_1 + \psi) \rangle$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ , where we mean that  $g_1 = g_r$ , and  $g_2 = g_\theta$ .

Our goal is now to relate the correlation function of the pressure and the input correlation tensor  $B^{(g)}$ .

**Theorem 5** *The correlation function of the pressure is represented through the correlation tensor of the homogeneous boundary velocity excitations by the following convolution formula*

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_p(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2) &= B_p(\rho_1 \rho_2, \theta_1 - \theta_2) \\
 &= \frac{4\eta^2}{\pi R^2} \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \rho_1^{k+1} \rho_2^{k+1} \cos[(k+1)(\theta_1 - \theta_2 - \psi)] \{B_{11}^{(g)}(\psi) + B_{22}^{(g)}(\psi)\} d\psi \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \rho_1^{k+1} \rho_2^{k+1} \sin[(k+1)(\theta_1 - \theta_2 - \psi)] \{B_{21}^{(g)}(\psi) - B_{12}^{(g)}(\psi)\} d\psi \right], \tag{40}
 \end{aligned}$$

hence the pressure is a random field homogeneous with respect to the angular variable.

*Proof* The proof follows the same way presented above by starting with the expression (39), and making the change of variable  $\psi = \varphi' - \varphi''$ , where  $\varphi'$  is fixed (i.e.,  $\psi$  is fixed), and the inner integrals are taken explicitly with respect to the variable  $\varphi''$ . Using the orthogonality of the functions  $\sin k\varphi''$  and  $\cos k\varphi''$  we arrive at (40). □

## 5 Vorticity and Stress

The stress tensor for the Stokes flow has the form [13]

$$\sigma_{ik} = -p\delta_{ik} + \eta \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad i, k = 1, 2$$

where  $p$  is the pressure, and  $\delta_{ik}$  is the Kronecker symbol.

Recall that in polar coordinates we use,

$$u_1 = u_r \cos \theta - u_\theta \sin \theta, \quad u_2 = u_r \sin \theta + u_\theta \cos \theta,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{r \partial \theta}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{r \partial \theta}.$$

Now choosing the axis  $x$  along the vector direction defined by  $\theta$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r}, & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} &= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{u_r}{r}, \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \theta} - \frac{u_\theta}{r}, \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

hence the entries of the stress tensor become

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{rr} &= -p + 2\eta \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r}, & \sigma_{\theta\theta} &= -p + 2\eta \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{u_r}{r} \right), \\ \sigma_{r\theta} &= \eta \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial r} - \frac{u_\theta}{r} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Using the series expansions for the kernels  $G_{ij}$  and the pressure obtained above, it is easy to derive series expansion representations for the correlation tensor for all the strain entries. Let us do it for the vorticity.

From (41) we get for the vorticity

$$\omega_{r\theta} = \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (ru_\theta) - \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \theta} \right].$$

Our goal is to find the correlation tensor of vorticity.

**Theorem 6** *The correlation function of the pressure,  $B_p$ , and the correlation function of the vorticity,  $B_{\omega_{r\theta}}$ , are related by*

$$B_{\omega_{r\theta}} = \frac{1}{2\eta^2} B_p = \frac{4}{\pi R^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \rho_1^{k+1} \rho_2^{k+1} \cos[(k+1)(\theta_2 - \theta_1)]. \tag{43}$$

*Proof* We start by substituting the series expansions (10) for the kernels  $G_{ij}$  to get the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (ru_\theta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [-(k-2)^2 \rho^k + k(k-2)\rho^{k+2}] \sin[k(\theta - \varphi)] g_r(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(k-2)^2 \rho^k - k^2 \rho^{k+2}] \cos[k(\theta - \varphi)] g_\theta(\varphi) d\varphi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [-k^2 \rho^k + k(k-2)\rho^{k+2}] \sin[k(\theta - \varphi)] g_r(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k^2 \rho^k - k^2 \rho^{k+2}] \cos[k(\theta - \varphi)] g_\theta(\varphi) d\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{r\theta} &= \frac{2}{\pi R} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\rho^{k+1} \sin[(k+1)(\theta - \varphi)] g_r(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\pi R} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\rho^{k+1} \cos[(k+1)(\theta - \varphi)] g_\theta(\varphi) d\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

From this we readily find the correlation function of the vorticity:

$$B_{\omega_{r\theta}}(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2) = \frac{4}{\pi R^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \rho_1^{k+1} \rho_2^{k+1} \cos[(k+1)(\theta_2 - \theta_1)]$$

which by comparing with the expansion (37) gives the desired result (43). □

### 5.1 Radial Stress

Analogously, the expansions for the correlations of the stress tensor can be obtained. For instance, let us consider the radial stress  $\sigma_{rr} = -p + 2\eta \partial u_r / \partial r$  given in (42). We have obviously

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\sigma_{rr}} &= \langle \sigma_{rr}(r_1, \theta_1) \sigma_{rr}(r_2, \theta_2) \rangle = B_p + 4\eta^2 \left\langle \frac{\partial u_r(r_1, \theta_1)}{\partial r} \frac{\partial u_r(r_2, \theta_2)}{\partial r} \right\rangle \\ &\quad - 2\eta \left\langle p(r_1, \theta_1) \frac{\partial u_r(r_2, \theta_2)}{\partial r} \right\rangle - 2\eta \left\langle \frac{\partial u_r(r_1, \theta_1)}{\partial r} p(r_2, \theta_2) \right\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where  $B_p$  is the correlation function of the pressure derived in Theorem 4.

Taking the radial derivative we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r}(r, \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ -\rho^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(k+1)(k-2)\rho^{k+2} - k(k-1)\rho^k] \cos[k(\theta - \varphi)] \right\} g_r(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k(k+1)\rho^{k+2} - k(k-1)\rho^k] \sin[k(\theta - \varphi)] g_\theta(\varphi) d\varphi. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

For the pressure we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(r, \theta) &= \frac{2\eta}{\pi R} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\rho^{k+1} \cos[(k+1)(\theta - \varphi)] g_r(Re^{i\varphi}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\rho^{k+1} \sin[(k+1)(\theta - \varphi)] g_\theta(Re^{i\varphi}) \right\} d\varphi. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

From (45) we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &4\eta^2 \left\langle \frac{\partial u_r(r_1, \theta_1)}{\partial r} \frac{\partial u_r(r_2, \theta_2)}{\partial r} \right\rangle \\
 &= \frac{2\eta^2 \rho_1^2 \rho_2^2}{\pi R^2} + \frac{4\eta^2 \rho_1^2 \rho_2^2}{\pi R^2} \cos(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \\
 &\quad + \frac{\eta^2}{\pi R^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_1^{k+1} \rho_2^{k+1} \Lambda_{k+1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cos[(k+1)(\theta_2 - \theta_1)]. \tag{47}
 \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\Lambda_k(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \lambda_1(k, \rho_1)\lambda_1(k, \rho_2) + \lambda_2(k, \rho_1)\lambda_2(k, \rho_2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_1(k, \rho) &= (k+1)(k-2)\rho^2 - k(k-1), \\
 \lambda_2(k, \rho) &= k(k+1)\rho^2 - k(k-1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, from (45) and (46) we find that the sum of two last terms in (44) has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 &-2\eta \left\langle p(r_1, \theta_1) \frac{\partial u_r(r_2, \theta_2)}{\partial r} \right\rangle - 2\eta \left\langle \frac{\partial u_r(r_1, \theta_1)}{\partial r} p(r_2, \theta_2) \right\rangle \\
 &= -\frac{2\eta^2}{\pi R^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \rho_1^{k+1} \rho_2^{k+1} \hat{\Lambda}_{k+1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cos[(k+1)(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] \tag{48}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{\Lambda}_k(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \lambda_1(k, \rho_1) + \lambda_2(k, \rho_1) + \lambda_1(k, \rho_2) + \lambda_2(k, \rho_2).$$

From the series representations (37), (47), and (48) we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{\sigma_{rr}} &= \frac{2\eta^2}{\pi R^2} \{ \rho_1^2 \rho_2^2 + 2\rho_1^3 \rho_2^3 \cos(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\eta^2}{\pi R^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k^2 + \Lambda_{k+1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) - 2k \hat{\Lambda}_{k+1}(\rho_1, \rho_2)] \rho_1^{k+1} \rho_2^{k+1} \cos[(k+1)(\theta_2 - \theta_1)]. \tag{49}
 \end{aligned}$$

### 6 Interior Stokes Problem

Let us now consider the interior Stokes problem in the disc  $D_R^-$  of radius  $R$  centered at the origin. On the boundary, which is the circle  $S(0, R) = \partial D_R$ , the velocity components  $g_1, g_2$  are prescribed, and the velocity vector  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = (u_1(\mathbf{x}), u_2(\mathbf{x}))$  and the pressure  $p(\mathbf{x})$  are to be found from the Stokes problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta \Delta \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) &= \nabla p(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in D_R^-, \\
 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) &= 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in D_R^-, \\
 \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}') &= \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}'), \quad \mathbf{x}' \in S(0, R)
 \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where  $\eta$  is the dynamic viscosity, and the velocity components  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are supposed to be zero mean random processes on the circle  $S(0, R)$ .

### 6.1 Poisson Formula

We use again polar coordinates,  $r \leq R$  is the radial coordinate, and  $\theta$  is the angular coordinate. The Poisson integral formula for the interior problem has the form analogous to (3) (e.g., see [36])

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_r(r, \theta) \\ u_\theta(r, \theta) \end{pmatrix} = \int_0^{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix} G_{11}(r; \theta - \varphi) & G_{12}(r; \theta - \varphi) \\ G_{21}(r; \theta - \varphi) & G_{22}(r; \theta - \varphi) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_r(Re^{i\varphi}) \\ g_\theta(Re^{i\varphi}) \end{pmatrix} d\varphi$$

where the entries  $G_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ) have the form

$$G_{11}(r; \theta) = \cos \theta K(r, \theta) + \frac{R^2 - r^2}{2r^2} \left\{ \cos \theta \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K(r, \theta) \right) - \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} K(r, \theta) \right\} - \frac{R^2 - r^2}{2\pi Rr},$$

$$G_{12}(r; \theta) = \sin \theta K(r, \theta) + \frac{R^2 - r^2}{2r^2} \left\{ \sin \theta \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K(r, \theta) \right) + \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} K(r, \theta) \right\},$$

$$G_{21}(r; \theta) = -\sin \theta K(r, \theta) + \frac{R^2 - r^2}{2r^2} \left\{ \sin \theta \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K(r, \theta) \right) + \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} K(r, \theta) \right\},$$

$$G_{22}(r; \theta) = \cos \theta K(r, \theta) - \frac{R^2 - r^2}{2r^2} \left\{ \cos \theta \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K(r, \theta) \right) - \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} K(r, \theta) \right\} + \frac{R^2 - r^2}{2\pi Rr}.$$

The pressure  $p(r, \theta)$  is related to the velocities  $u_r(R, \theta)$  and  $u_\theta(R, \theta)$  on the boundary by analogous Poisson type integral formula:

$$\begin{aligned} p(r, \theta) = & -\frac{2\eta}{r} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(r; \theta - \varphi) u_r(Re^{i\varphi}) d\varphi + \int_0^{2\pi} P_\theta(r; \theta - \varphi) u_\theta(Re^{i\varphi}) d\varphi \right\} \\ & + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} p(R, \varphi) d\varphi \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

where

$$P_r(r; \theta) = \cos \theta \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K(r, \theta) \right) - \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} K(r, \theta),$$

$$P_\theta(r; \theta) = \sin \theta \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K(r, \theta) \right) + \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} K(r, \theta).$$

The function  $K(r, \theta)$  is the Poisson kernel for the harmonic equation in the circular domain of radius  $R$ :

$$K(r, \theta) = \frac{R^2 - r^2}{2\pi(R^2 + r^2 - 2Rr \cos \theta)}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq R.$$

We define here  $\rho = r/R$ , so that  $\rho < 1$ , and in the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{11}(\rho, k) &= \frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{k(1 - \rho^2)}{2\rho}, & \lambda_{12}(\rho, k) &= -\frac{k(1 - \rho^2)}{2\rho}, \\ \lambda_{21}(\rho, k) &= -\frac{(k + 2)(1 - \rho^2)}{2\rho}, & \lambda_{22}(\rho, k) &= \rho - \frac{k(1 - \rho^2)}{2\rho}, \end{aligned} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{52}$$

we obtain the series expansions in the form

$$G_{11}(r; \theta) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{11}(\rho, k) \rho^k \cos(k\theta), \quad G_{12}(r; \theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{12}(\rho, k) \rho^k \sin(k\theta),$$

$$G_{21}(r; \theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{21}(\rho, k) \rho^k \sin(k\theta), \quad G_{22}(r; \theta) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{22}(\rho, k) \rho^k \cos(k\theta).$$

Now we note that all the statements presented for the exterior problem hold true for the interior problem, up to the Sect. 4, we just have to replace the coefficients  $\lambda_{ij}$  in the functions  $G_{ij}$  by the expressions (52). The pressure however should be analyzed separately.

Indeed, the Poisson formula (51) for the interior problem has a structure different from that of the exterior problem, compare with (5).

After substituting the series expansions for the kernels we find that

$$p(r, \theta) = -\frac{\eta}{\pi R} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) \rho^k \cos[k(\theta - \varphi)] \right] u_r(\varphi) d\varphi \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) \rho^k \sin[k(\theta - \varphi)] \right] u_\theta(\varphi) d\varphi \right\} \\ + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} p(R, \varphi) d\varphi. \tag{53}$$

Integrating both parts of this equality from  $\theta = 0$  to  $2\pi$  we conclude that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} u_r(R, \theta) d\theta = 0 \tag{54}$$

which is just the consistency condition for the interior Dirichlet problem of the Stokes equation

$$\int_{S(0,R)} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} ds = 0$$

which does not appear in the case of the exterior Dirichlet problem.


From this we see that the random process on the boundary  $p(R, \theta)$  is homogeneous with respect to the angular coordinate, with a constant mean  $p_0 = \bar{p}(R, \cdot)$ , and moreover, by (53),  $\bar{p}(r, \theta) = p_0$  inside the disc.

From the representation (53) we can find the correlation function of the pressure. The last term  $\bar{P} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} p(R, \varphi) d\varphi$  in this expression is just a random variable which is normally distributed, so it does not affect the angular behaviour of the correlation function. Note also that due to the property (54) we can assume without loss of generality that the mean of the pressure is zero. Thus it is sufficient to find the correlation function


$$B_p = \langle (p(r_1, \theta_1) - \bar{P})(p(r_2, \theta_2) - \bar{P}) \rangle.$$

Direct evaluation yields by (53)

$$B_p = \frac{2\eta^2}{\pi R^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)^2 \rho_1^k \rho_2^k \cos[k(\theta_2 - \theta_1)].$$



**Fig. 1** (Color online) Angular correlation function for  $B_{11}$  (left panel) and  $B_{22}$  (right panel), for different values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$




**Fig. 2** (Color online) Angular correlation function for  $B_{11}$  (left panel) and  $B_{22}$  (right panel), for equal values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$


### 7 Numerical Results

We give in this section the results of numerical calculations for the Stokes problem with white noise boundary excitations. The angular and radial dependences of the correlations for the velocity, pressure, and radial stress are presented. We also compare these functions for the interior and exterior problems.






**Fig. 3** (Color online) Angular correlation functions  $B_{12}$  and  $B_{21}$ , for different values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  (left panel), and  $B_{21}$ , for equal values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  (right panel)




**Fig. 4** (Color online) Comparison of the K-L-approximation with the exact result: angular correlation function of the pressure  $B_p(\rho_1, \rho_2; \theta)$ , for different values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ , and for different number of terms in the K-L expansion

Let us start with the velocity correlation tensor for the exterior Stokes problem. In Fig. 1 we present the angular behaviour of the correlation functions  $B_{11}$  (left panel) and  $B_{22}$  (right panel), for different values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ , calculated according to the series representation (18), with the number of retained terms  $n = 40$ . It should be noted that this number of terms




**Fig. 5** (Color online) Angular correlation function of the pressure  $B_p(\rho_1, \rho_2; \theta)$ , for different values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$




**Fig. 6** (Color online) Angular behaviour of the correlation function of the radial stress  $B_{\sigma_{rr}}$ , for different values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  with  $\rho_1$  fixed near the boundary, and varying second point: far from the boundary (small values of  $\rho_2$ , left panel), and closer to the boundary (larger values of  $\rho_2$ , right panel)

ensures that the error is less than 0.1%. We have also calculated these functions by a direct Monte Carlo algorithm based on the K-L representation (20), (21), but we do not plot these functions since the curves are practically coincident with the results obtained by the series representation (18). To keep the error of about 0.1%, we had to increase the number of the




**Fig. 7** (Color online) The velocity correlation functions  $B_{11}$  (left panel), and  $B_{22}$  (right panel), for equal values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ . Interior Stokes problem




**Fig. 8** (Color online) The velocity correlation functions  $B_{11}$  (left panel), and  $B_{22}$  (right panel), for different values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ . Interior Stokes problem

retained terms  $n$  as  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  go closer to 1, i.e., when the correlations are calculated closer to the boundary. However in all our calculations,  $n$  was not larger than 200.

An interesting feature of the correlation function  $B_{22}$  is that unlike  $B_{11}$ , there is a peak around the angle  $\theta = 15^\circ$  for a certain range of the radial values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ . In Fig. 2, we present these correlations versus the angle  $\theta$ , for fixed and equal values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ . It is clearly seen that as the distance to the boundary increases ( $\rho$  decreases), the fluctuation



**Fig. 9** (Color online) The velocity cross-correlation functions  $B_{12}$  and  $B_{21}$ , for equal values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  (left panel), and different values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  (right panel). Interior Stokes problem



**Fig. 10** (Color online) Comparison of the pressure correlation functions for the exterior and interior Stokes problems, for different values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$

intensity decreases while the correlation length increases. In Fig. 3 the cross-correlations  $B_{12}$  and  $B_{21}$  are shown. Here we can see that the property  $B_{ij}(\theta, \rho_1, \rho_2) = B_{ji}(\theta, \rho_2, \rho_1)$  holds true (left panel). In the right panel of Fig. 3 we show the function  $B_{21}$  for different values of  $\rho_1, \rho_2$ .

Let us turn to the pressure correlation function. To illustrate the rate of convergence of the K-L expansion, we compare in Fig. 4 the pressure correlation  $B_p(\rho_1, \rho_2; \theta)$  for different values of the retained terms  $n$  in the K-L series representation (37), with the exact result presented by (38). It is seen that a few number of terms is sufficient for a good approximation even near the boundary where the decrease of correlations is very fast (see the right panel). We can analyze these fast decorrelations by comparing with the results presented in the left and right panels of Fig. 5. Here it is also seen that the larger the distance to the boundary, the smaller the intensity fluctuations and the larger the correlation length.

The rate of convergence of the K-L expansion is closely related to the smoothness of the correlation kernel and  $L$ , the correlation length of the process. For example, in [15] is reported that for the particular case  $B(x_1, x_2) = \sigma e^{-|x_2 - x_1|/L}$ , an upper bound for the relative error in variance  $\varepsilon$  of the process represented by its K-L expansion is given by  $\varepsilon \leq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{L}$  where  $n$  is the number of retained terms.

The correlation function of the radial stress,  $B_{\sigma_{rr}}$ , calculated according to the K-L representation (49) is presented in Fig. 6, for different values of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ : we fix the value  $\rho_1 = 0.99$ , and vary the value of  $\rho_2$  from  $\rho_2 = 0.1$  (small values in the left panel), to  $\rho_2 = 0.7$  (large values in the right panel). Here we can see how strong the correlation function is changed when varying the radial coordinate.

Let us consider the interior Stokes problem. The correlation function of the velocity is presented in Figs. 7 and 8. In Fig. 7 we present the velocity correlation functions  $B_{11}$  (left panel) and  $B_{22}$  (right panel), for equal values of the radial coordinate, where  $\rho = \rho_1 = \rho_2$  is varied from 0.4 to 0.85. The same curves are shown in Fig. 8, but for different values of the radial coordinates: we fix  $\rho_2 = 0.99$ , and vary  $\rho_1$  from 0.1 to 0.7. Comparing the results for these two cases, we note that there is no qualitative difference between the correlations  $B_{11}$  in Fig. 7 and 8, while the functions  $B_{22}$  do differ dramatically. This illustrates how complex might be the behaviour of inhomogeneous random fields.

This is also illustrated by the results presented in Fig. 9 where we show the cross-correlations  $B_{12}$  and  $B_{21}$  of equal (left panel) and different (right panel) values of  $\rho_1, \rho_2$ .

It is also interesting to compare the correlation functions for the interior and exterior problems, which can be done by a comparative analysis of results presented in Figs. 1–6 and that shown in Figs. 7–9. We present here only the pressure correlation functions, see Fig. 10, for different values of  $\rho_1, \rho_2$ .

**Acknowledgements** The author is thankful to anonymous referees for the detailed analysis, suggestions, and improvements.

## References

1. Cheng, Lafe, A.H.D., Boundary, O.E.: Element solution for stochastic groundwater flow: Random boundary condition and recharge. *Water Resour. Res.* **27**(2), 231–242 (1991)
2. Courant, R., Hilbert, D.: *Methods of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 2. Wiley, New York (1989)
3. Dagan, G.: *Flow and Transport in Porous Formations*. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York (1989)
4. Farrell, B.F., Ioannou, P.J.: Stochastic forcing of the linearized Navier-Stokes equations. *Phys. Fluids A* **5**(11), 2600–2609 (1993)
5. Ghanem, R.G., Spanos, P.D.: *Stochastic Finite Elements. A Spectral Approach*. Courier Dover Publications (2003)
6. Giordano, A., Uhrig, M.: Human face recognition technology using the Karhunen-Loeve expansion technique. Regis University, Denver, Colorado. <http://www.rose-hulman.edu/mathjournal/archives/2006/vol7-n1/paper11/v7n1-11pd.pdf>
7. Kaganer, V.M., Köhler, R., Schmidbauer, M., Opitz, R.: X-ray diffraction peaks due to misfit dislocations in heteroepitaxial structures. *Phys. Rev. B* **55**(3), 1793–1810 (1997)

8. Kaipio, J., Kolehmainen, V., Somersalo, E., Vauhkonen, M.: Statistical inversion and Monte Carlo sampling methods in electrical impedance tomography. *Inverse Probl.* **16**, 1487–1522 (2000)
9. Katafygiotis, L.S., Zerva, A., Malyarenko, A.A.: Simulation of homogeneous and partially isotropic random fields. *J. Eng. Mech.* **125**(10), 1180–1189 (1999)
10. Kolyukhin, D., Sabelfeld, K.: Stochastic flow simulation in 3D porous media. *Monte Carlo Methods Appl.* **11**(1), 15–37 (2005)
11. Kramer, P., Kurbanmuradov, O., Sabelfeld, K.: Comparative analysis of multiscale Gaussian random field simulation algorithms. *J. Comput. Phys.* **226**, 897–924 (2007)
12. Kurbanmuradov, O., Sabelfeld, K.K.: Stochastic spectral and Fourier-wavelet methods for vector Gaussian random field. *Monte Carlo Methods Appl.* **12**(5–6), 395–446.
13. Landau, L.D., Lifshitz, E.M.: *Theoretical Physics. Hydrodynamics.* Nauka, Moscow (1988). (In Russian)
14. Lorenz, E.N.: Empirical orthogonal functions and statistical weather prediction. Report 1, Statistical Forecasting Project, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (1956)
15. Lucor, D., Su, C.H., Karniadakis, G.E.: Karhunen-Loeve representation of periodic second-order autoregressive processes. In: *International Conference on Computational Science*, pp. 827–834. Springer, Berlin (2004)
16. Lumley, J.L.: The structure of homogeneous turbulent flows. In: Yaglom, A.M., Tatarsky, V.I. (eds.) *Atmospheric Turbulence and Radio Wave Propagation*, p. 166. Nauka, Moscow (1967)
17. Maury, C., Gardonio, P., Elliot, S.J.: A wavenumber approach to modelling the response of a randomly excited panel. II. Application to aircraft panels excited by a turbulent boundary layer. *J. Sound Vib.* **252**(1), 115–139 (2002)
18. Monin, A.S., Yaglom, A.M.: *Statistical Fluid Mechanics: Mechanics of Turbulence*, vol. 2. MIT Press, Cambridge (1981)
19. Nadine Aubry: On the hidden beauty of the proper orthogonal decomposition. *Theor. Comput. Fluid Dyn.* **2**, 339–352 (1991)
20. Ophir, J., Alam, S., Garra, B., et al.: Elastography: Imaging the elastic properties of soft tissues with ultrasound. *J. Med. Ultrasonics* **29**, 155–171 (2002)
21. Phoon, K.K., Huang, H.W., Quek, S.T.: Simulation of strongly non-Gaussian processes using Karhunen-Loeve expansion. *Probab. Eng. Mech.* **20**, 188–198 (2005)
22. Rozanov, Yu.A., Sanso, F.: The analysis of the Neumann and the oblique derivative problem: The theory of regularization and its stochastic version. *J. Geodesy* **75**, 391–398 (2001)
23. Sabelfeld, K.K.: *Monte Carlo Methods in Boundary Value Problems.* Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York (1991)
24. Sabelfeld, K.K.: Evaluation of elastic coefficients from the correlation and spectral tensors in response to boundary random excitations. In: *Proc. International Conference on Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems of Mathematical Physics Dedicated to Professor M.M. Lavrentiev on Occasion of his 75-th Birthday.* Novosibirsk, August 21–24 (2007)
25. Sabelfeld, K.K.: Expansion of random boundary excitations for elliptic PDEs. *Monte Carlo Methods Appl.* **13**(5–6), 405–453
26. Sabelfeld, K., Kolyukhin, D.: Stochastic Eulerian model for the flow simulation in porous media. *Monte Carlo Methods Appl.* **9**(3), 271–290 (2003)
27. Sabelfeld, K.K., Shalimova, I.A.: Elastic half-plane under random displacement excitations on the boundary. *J. Stat. Phys.* **132**(6), 1071–1095 (2008)
28. Sanso, F., Venuti, G.: White noise stochastic BVP's and Cimmino's theory. In: *PL da Vinci-IV Hotine-Marussi Symposium on Mathematical Geodesy*, pp. 5–20. Trento, Italy (2001)
29. Shalimova, I.A., Sabelfeld, K.: Elastostatics of a half-plane under random boundary excitations. *WIAS Preprint N 1343*. To appear in *J. Stat. Mech.* (2008)
30. Shinozuka, M.: Simulation of multivariate and multidimensional random processes. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **49**, 357–368 (1971)
31. Sowers, R.B.: Multidimensional reaction-diffusion equation with white-noise boundary perturbations. *Ann. Probab.* **22**(4), 2071–2121 (1994)
32. Tartakovsky, D., Xiu, D.: Stochastic analysis of transport in tubes with rough walls. *J. Comput. Phys.* **217**(1), 248–259 (2006)
33. Xiu, D., Shen, J.: An efficient spectral method for acoustic scattering from rough surfaces. *Commun. Comput. Phys.* **2**(1), 54–72 (2007)
34. Xu, X.F.: A multiscale stochastic finite element method on elliptic problems involving uncertainties. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **196**(25–28), 2723–2736 (2007)
35. Yaglom, A.M.: *Correlation Theory of Stationary and Related Random Functions I. Basic Results.* Springer, New York/Heidelberg/Berlin (1987)
36. Yu, D.-h.: *Natural Boundary Integral Method and Its Applications.* Science Press/Kluwer, Beijing/Dordrecht (2002)